



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,751	02/05/2002	Ashutosh Pande	SiRF.1044USC1 (833869.004	9667
26021 7	590 05/22/2002			
	IARTSON L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
500 S. GRANI SUITE 1900			GARY, ERIKA A	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONI	TALER NUMBER
			2685	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/068,751

Applicant(s)

Pande et al.

Examiner

Erika A. Gary

Art Unit **2685**



 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears 	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the st					
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and 	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the all Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this 	oplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 5, 200</u>	2				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This actio					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>21-40</u>	is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) X Claim(s) 21, 22, and 28-40	is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc	e a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin					
	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to thi	s Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.				
14) 🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional a					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	n				
1) XNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Nnformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2; 3pgs	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/068,751

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 21, 22, and 28-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 6-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,291. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/068,751 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

from each other because substantially the same subject matter is disclosed. New claim 21 differs from patented claim 1 in that it omits the limitation stating that the selective switching occurs substantially simultaneously with the determination of the geolocation. However, based on the purpose of the selective switching, this feature would be obvious. New claims 37 and 40 differ from patented claims 16 and 19 in that they state that the determination of the geolocation occurs within a predetermined time period from the switching into the selected mode as opposed to occurring substantially simultaneously. Again, based on the purpose of the selective switching, this new feature would be obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 23-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bloebaum, US Patent Number 6,188,351, discloses a method for improving signal acquisition in a GPS receiver.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,751

Art Unit: 2685

Seiple et al., US Patent Number 6,222,484, disclose a personal emergency location

system.

Watters et al., US Patent Number 6,249,245, disclose GPS and cellular system

interworking.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5.

should be directed to Erika Gary whose telephone number is (703) 308-0123. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be

reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750 or to the

2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 14, 2002

FDWARD F. URBAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600